

1st International Summer School on Humour and Laughter Evaluation Form

Not Applicable Very Poor Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Good Excellent
 NA 1 2 3 4 5

Aspects of Teaching						
		Mean	Std	Median	Max	Min
1	Organisation and structure The course was structured appropriately and was well organised.	4.17	0.7	4	5	3
2	Teaching and learning methods Teaching and learning methods and activities (e.g. lectures, discussions etc.) were appropriate and effective, given the goals of the course.	3.74	0.75	4	5	2
3	Stimulation of interest in subject matter	3.86	0.9	4	5	2
4	Quality of reference and support materials (reading/print materials; electronic media; software; etc.)	4.5	0.6	5	5	3
5	Pace of the course (1 = too slow, 3 = ok, 5 = too fast)	3.3	0.82	3	5	2
6	Difficulty of the course (1 = too easy, 3 = ok, 5 = too difficult)	2.78	0.67	3	4	1
7	Learning climate The overall climate was appropriate; activities, tasks and interactions were relevant and enjoyable; teaching and other staff were supportive and sensitive to students needs and concerns; etc	4.17	1.07	5	5	1
8	Overall Impact on learning/development How would you rate the overall impact of this course on your learning and development (gain in knowledge, skills, motivation, development of personal attributes, etc.)	4.26	0.75	4	5	3
9	Overall effectiveness of the teaching How would you rate the effectiveness of the teaching in this course overall?	3.91	0.67	4	5	3

1st International Summer School on Humour and Laughter Teaching Evaluation Form

The university requires that students evaluate the teaching standards of lecturers. This is an important type of feedback to lecturers so students are asked to take it seriously. Your responses are given anonymously. Your co-operation is appreciated.

Please print carefully:

LECTURER

Willi Ruch

**Please indicate your response by
ticking the appropriate box**

- 5 SA = Strongly agree
- 4 A = Agree
- 3 N = Neutral
- 2 D = Disagree
- 1 SD = Strongly Disagree

		Mean	Std	Median	Max	Min
1	The lecturer seemed interested in the subject being taught.	4.91	0.29	5	5	4
2	The lecturer made the purpose and objectives of the lecture clear.	4.78	0.42	5	5	4
3	The lecturer presented the material clearly and in a logical sequence.	4.74	0.45	5	5	4
4	The lecturer was clearly audible.	4.52	0.85	5	5	2
5	The lecturer's use of the blackboard and/or audiovisual aids was effective.	4.52	0.67	5	5	3
6	Lectures were interesting and stimulating.	4.46	0.81	5	5	2
7	The amount of material per lecture. (1=Too little, 3= Too much).	2.33	0.44	2	3	2
8	The lecturer was approachable.	4.83	0.49	5	5	3
9	Associated handouts/references given by the lecturer helped me to understand the course.	4.70	0.56	5	5	3

Please write any other comments overleaf.